BEFORE the late 1970s, the proportion of Australian children enrolled in government and non-government schools varied very little from decade to decade. Most Catholic families sent their children to Catholic schools, even though it was only in 1963 that these first received government grants, from the Menzies Coalition Government. A few wealthy Protestant families sent their children to independent schools but, unlike Catholics, Protestant families were mostly happy with government schools. These were then based on what the American historian Robert Bellah called a ‘civil religion’: Christian morality without theology.

The 1963 grants for non-government schools had little immediate effect on enrolments. In 1977, 2,356,190 (78.9 per cent) of Australian school students were in government schools, 501,857 in Catholic schools, 51,613 in Anglican schools, and 77,013 in other non-government schools, making 630,483 non-government school students in all. This distribution was little different from that earlier in the century. From the late 1970s onwards, the proportion of students in government schools fell year by year. By 2003, government schools retained only 66 per cent of all school students. Between 1993 and 2003 the total number of students in government schools throughout Australia increased by only 1.2 per cent, whereas those in non-government schools rose by 22.3 per cent. In several States in recent years, numbers in government schools actually fell.

POSSIBLE REASONS FOR CHANGING ENROLMENTS
Some proffered explanations for this significant drop in government school enrolments have little foundation. First, some parents choose schools for what critics term ‘snobbish’ motives. There is some circularity here, however, since there is little evidence for increased snobbery in Australia other than the increased patronage of non-government schools. The snobbery is supposed to explain.

Second, advocates of State schools often claim that non-government schools are likely to prosper in periods of economic expansion, because the fat cats are getting fatter, but during periods of comparative hardship some argued that parents were more concerned than ever about their children’s employment prospects and were therefore more willing to pay school fees. Since 1979, the rate of expansion of non-government schools has usually been only slightly greater during prosperous periods.

Third, there have been some significant changes in religious belief in Australia during the last 30 years. There have been notable increases in adherents to Islam, and to a lesser extent to Hinduism and Buddhism. Among the mainstream Christian churches of Australia, however, a decline in church congregations has accompanied increasing attendances in schools loosely or more tightly connected to the churches. The Anglican and Uniting churches are most prominent in combining religious decline with expansion in schools nominally adherent to them, but the Catholic Church is not far behind. Several evangelical and fundamentalist churches have increased both their congregations and their school rolls, but ‘New Christian’ schools remain relatively small in total enrolments.

The overwhelming majority of parents who have transferred their children out of government schools into non-government schools did not do so out of religious fervour. The movement against government schools would be far greater if it were easier to open new non-government schools of a non-religious character. By and large, non-profit independent schools support the exclusion from grants of entrepreneurial schools that would compete with them rather than with State schools.

Fourth, overall, there has been and remains very little difference in student–teacher ratios and class sizes between government and non-government sectors. In 2003, there were 154,872 full-time-equivalent teachers in government schools and 74,704 in non-government schools. In primary schools, this worked out at a student–teacher ratio of 16.6 in government schools and a slightly inferior 17.1 in non-government schools. Catholic and New Christian schools have, on average, slightly worse student–teacher ratios than government and other non-government schools. All Australian school systems enjoy some of the most favourable class sizes in the world.
REAL CAUSES FOR PARENTAL REJECTION OF GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS

The lack of movement against government schools between 1963 and 1979 suggests that changes in funding are not in themselves a clincher in family decisions about school choice. Better funding of non-government schools obviously makes them more available to a larger number of families than they would otherwise be, but the relative burden on family incomes resulting from the cost of school fees and related expenses incurred in attending non-government schools has changed very little. Usually, something given away free has to be seriously flawed if people reject it in favour of an apparent equivalent that is very expensive.

A growing gap between educational standards in the two systems has clearly been the main reason for changes in parental choice of schools. On comparative educational standards, teacher unions breathe contradiction. On the one hand they assert that, once family and other external influences are taken into account, non-government schools do not provide a better education than government schools. On the other hand, they claim that attendance at independent schools confers unfair advantages, irrespective of family circumstances. Ever since the reworking of their evidence by James S. Coleman and his American research team, no serious scholar has maintained that schools make little or no difference to educational achievement, once family background is factored in. It does seem to be true, however, that in Australia before the 1960s, government schools overall performed at least as well as their non-government rivals, all sociocultural factors being considered. At that time, there was greater educational transparency, especially in the literacy and numeracy testing carried out by the State education departments and in matriculation and other externally conducted examinations. From the 1970s onwards, educationists ensured a severe reduction in relevant information about educational standards in Australia, although there has been some reversal over the last decade, mainly because of initiatives of the Howard Coalition Government, together with both ALP and Liberal initiatives in several States.

Between 1993 and 2003 the total number of students in government schools throughout Australia increased by only 1.2 per cent, whereas those in non-government schools rose by 22.3 per cent.

Many parents are acutely aware of the large increase in the percentage of university places in prestigious faculties, such as medicine and law, secured by non-government schools. Although statistically significant, the overall change in the proportion of university places secured by government and non-government students is not so marked, since entrance standards have declined and numbers admitted greatly increased. In fact, many universities have vigorously publicized their affirmative action policies, whereby the weaker the academic performance of a school, the lower the entrance marks required by its students.

A second major consideration for many families deserting government schools is classroom disorder. It is in the hope of a safe and tranquil atmosphere for their children in work and play that families are most willing to pay fees. This is one of many issues on which teacher unions blow hot and cold. Often they deny that there has been any escalation of violence in government schools, but they also com-
plain of greater strain and stress than in the past. Early retirements and breakdowns among teachers are far more frequent than half a century ago—that is, at a time of teacher shortages and large classes.

During the 1980s, the radical Left captured teacher education and the curriculum committees of nearly every State. One key aim, substantially achieved, was to undermine traditional patriotic loyalties and traditional morality. Patriotism was undermined by portrayals of post-1788 Australia as unjust, racist and genocidal at home, and engaged abroad in wars on behalf of foreign imperialism. Mining and economic development were lampooned as degradation of the environment. Knowledge of modern Australia’s roots in Western civilization was reduced and distorted. Traditional families were denounced as an illegitimate tool of social control: a conspiracy by men to suppress women, by the rich to subjugate the poor, and the white to exploit the black.

A relatively small number of moral saboteurs could exert immense influence, because large numbers of people began to regard moral values as merely personal and relative. As the former ‘civil religion’ faded, the values of the government schools were reduced to the lowest common factors of tolerance, consideration of others and non-violence. Although these are important virtues and form essential conditions for the rule of law, they can only be secured in the schools through properly enforced rules of conduct, and through what may broadly be termed the moral curriculum.

One ought not to exaggerate the differences between the systems: in most Australian States the same institutions train both government and non-government teachers and propagate current Political Correctness on a large range of issues with some success. In general, however, parental interest and pressure is keener in non-government fee-paying schools, and this helps to curtail, although not totally to stifle, radical antinomianism in them.

**TOP-DOWN OR BOTTOM-UP REFORM?**

Within pluralist and open societies there are inevitably far-reaching contests about educational priorities, since educational values are inherently contestable. It is on the basis of this essential contestability that the fundamental case for choice in education, especially parental or family choice, is founded. Well-informed and highly experienced persons rationally and defensively adopt different, indeed incompatable, priorities in education. In this, education resembles politics.

---

**A growing gap between educational standards in the two systems has clearly been the main reason for changes in parental choice of schools**

Many Australians are deeply dissatisfied with the current situation in our government schools. One possible solution is to try to create a uniform system markedly better than the present one by top-down legislation or regulations. This may not be impossible, and should be part of an overall policy, but it is very difficult to accomplish. The first reason is because diversities and incompatibilities of belief make moral vacuity an almost inevitable consequence of attempted uniformity. The second is that many government schoolteachers will sabotage attempted reforms with impunity. Just imagine schoolteachers will sabotage attempted reforms with impunity. Just imagine

---
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